

## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trad mark Offic

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, DC 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRS	T NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/487,974	06/07/95	GRAY		J	028723/016
Г			٦		EXAMINER
		HM31/0	901		
R DANNY HUM	WT1NGTON			MARSO	HEL, A
BURNS DOAMS	SWECKER AN	D MATHIS		ART UNIT	
PO BOX 1404	<b>;</b>				-
ALEXANDRIA	VA 22313-14	04		1634	
				DATE MAILED:	
					09/01/98

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

BURNS, DOANE, SWECKER & MATHIS, LLP.
RECEIVED

D28723-016

U SEP 3 1998 RDH/DMM

AWV The Regents of the Univ. of Ca.

Final Response due

12/1/98 J. R.

## Office Action Summary

Application No. 08/487,974 Applicant(s)

Gray et al.

Examiner

Ardin H. Marschel

Group Art Unit 1634



Responsive to communication(s) filed on Jun 4, 1998	·
★ This action is FINAL.	
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matter in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 48	ters, prosecution as to the merits is closed 53 O.G. 213.
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond wapplication to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time n 37 CFR 1.136(a).	within the period for response will cause the
Disposition of Claims	
	is/are pending in the application.
- Claim(s) 1-130 and 136 have been canceled	Committee to the committee of the commit
☐ Claim(s)	
Claim(s)	
☐ Claims are sul	
<ul> <li>☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on</li></ul>	.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). y documents have been al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
Attachment(s)  ☐ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892  ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). (3 sheet) ☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413 ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948 ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	e <u>ets</u> )
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOW	VING PAGES

The art unit designated for this application has changed.

Applicant(s) are hereby informed that future correspondence should be directed to Art Unit 1634.

Applicants' arguments and IDS, filed 6/4/98, have been fully considered but they are not deemed to be persuasive. Rejections and/or objections not reiterated from previous office actions are hereby withdrawn. The following rejections and/or objections are newly applied. They constitute the complete set presently being applied to the instant application.

If applicant desires priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120 based upon a parent application, specific reference to the parent application must be made in the instant application. It is noted that this appears as the first sentence of the specification following the title. Status of the parent application (whether patented or abandoned) should also be included. If a parent application has become a patent, the expression "Patent No." should follow the filing date of the parent application. If a parent application has become abandoned, the expression "abandoned" should follow the filing date of the parent application.

Claims 131-135 and 137-161 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification is such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. NEW MATTER in the claims is directed to a complexity of 40 kb as given in claim 131, line 5, for example, plus other claims. Consideration of the cited support that has been argued by applicants has revealed that none of the citations state a 40 KB complexity but rather only 40 KB in length of probe

or target but not a probe complexity of 40 KB. Applicants are also referred to the instant specification on page 37, lines 4-7, wherein the complexity is calculated via non-repeated sequences. Therefore a probe length must be corrected for its content of repeated sequence. Even random sequence of a length of 40 KB will clearly contain some repeated bases and sequence. Therefore a 40 KB probe must have a complexity of less than 40 KB. In summary this rejection is reiterated and maintained and necessitated by amendment regarding the newly added claims.

No claim is allowed.

Applicants' amendment necessitated the new grounds of rejection. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See M.P.E.P. § 706.07(a). Applicants are reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a).

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE TO THIS FINAL ACTION IS SET TO EXPIRE THREE MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS ACTION. IN THE EVENT A FIRST RESPONSE IS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION AND THE ADVISORY ACTION IS NOT MAILED UNTIL AFTER THE END OF THE THREE-MONTH SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD, THEN THE SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WILL EXPIRE ON THE DATE THE ADVISORY ACTION IS MAILED, AND ANY EXTENSION FEE PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a) WILL BE CALCULATED FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THE ADVISORY ACTION. IN NO EVENT WILL THE STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE EXPIRE LATER THAN SIX MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION.

Since the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(r) for a first submission subsequent to a final rejection has been previously paid, applicant, under 37 CFR 1.129(a), is entitled to have a second submission entered and considered on the merits if, prior to abandonment, the second submission and the fee set forth in 37

Art Unit: 1634

CFR 1.17(r) are filed prior to the filing of an appeal brief under 37 CFR 1.192. Upon the timely filing of a second submission and the appropriate fee for a large entity under 37 CFR 1.17(r), the finality of the previous Office action will be withdrawn. In view of 35 U.S.C. 132, no amendment considered as a result of payment of the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(r) may introduce new matter into the disclosure of the application.

Papers related to this application may be submitted to Group 1600 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Group 1600 via the PTO Fax Center located in Crystal Mall 1. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notices published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1988), 1156 OG 61 (November 16, 1993), and 1157 OG 94 (December 28, 1993) (See 37 CFR § 1.6(d)). The CM1 Fax Center number is (703) 308-4242.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ardin Marschel, Ph.D., whose telephone number is (703) 308-3894. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8 A.M. to 4 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, W. Gary Jones, can be reached on (703) 308-1152.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Chemical Matrix receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

August 17, 1998

ARDIN H. MARSCHEL PRIMARY EXAMINER